

## **THE REVIEW PROCESS**

All submitted manuscripts are assessed by Executive Editors for suitability for the review process. In order to save time to both the authors and reviewers, articles that are unlikely to meet criteria for publication in Prague Medical Report are returned to the authors without further review. Manuscripts selected for a formal review process are evaluated by a minimum of two reviewers. Based on their advice, articles are accepted with or without minor changes or a revision is requested. Manuscripts that raise major concerns of specialists are rejected or a new submission is required. Typically, concerns and objections of reviewers that are likely to cause the rejection of manuscripts are: lack of novelty, inconsistency and problems in methods and interpretation of the results, problems in the described work concept. Please, keep in mind that scientists tend to use solutions that they are familiar with and the Editors have to make a consensual agreement on judgments that usually differ and are often conflicting. The decision is therefore made based on the strength of the arguments raised by reviewers. We appreciate reviews that provide us with clear substantiated arguments and avoid requesting numerous actions. It is preferable to reject the submitted article if many experiments have to be completed in order to validate the submitted work. On the other hand, if the conclusions of the described work seem to be valid and important but are not sufficiently supported or if critical controls are missing, additional experiments and their results have to be requested. Manuscripts that seem unlikely to provide requested additions are rejected. The review process is strictly confidential.

### **Executive Editors' topic coverage:**

doc. MUDr. Jan Šváb, CSc: surgery, dentistry

dr. Kateřina Jandová: academic disciplines, internal medicine